BD 143 926

CG 011 703

AUTHOR TITLE

Goor, Jeannette; Schroeder, Anita Job Placement Services Provided by Public School

INSTITUTION

Systems in the United States, 1976.
National Center for Edication Statistics (DHEW),

Washington, D.C.

SPONS AGENCY REPORT NO

Westat Research, Inc., Rockville, Md. FRSS-2

PUB DATE.

76

NOTE

22p.; Appendices may not reproduce clearly due to

EDRS PRICE ' DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.

*Dropouts; *Guidance Counseling: *High School Graduates: *Job Placement: Program Evaluation: School Districts: *School Services; Secondary Education; . Statistical Studies; *Student Placement; Surveys

ABSTRACT

This report is the second in the Fast Response/Survey System (FRSS) series of studies on current, policy-related issues. The objective of the PRSS is to provide quick national estimates of key data that are needed for planning and policy purposes. The survey that resulted in this report was conducted at the request of the . office of the Assistant Secretary for Education (OASE). It's purpose was to obtain a nationwide overview of the type and amount of formal job placement assistance provided by school districts for their high school students, graduates and dropcuts. (Author)

**************** Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort * to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions_ERIC_makes available * via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. **************

Fast Response Survey System

Job Placement Services

Provided by

Public School Systems in the United States, 1976

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, 4 EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING 11 POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF

FRSS Report No. 2

Availability of Formal Job Placement Services

Of the Nation's 11,600 school districts with grades 11 and 12, 44 percent (5,161) reported formal job placement programs in fall 1976.

Among the 5.16th districts with placement programs 93 percent served enrolled students and almost two-thirds served graduates and/or dropouts.

Growth of Placement Services

Of the school district job placement programs reported in 1976, 58 percent have been in existence only five years or less, and 29 percent, three years or less. About a fifth of all reported programs were started during 1971, a higher proportion than in any other single year.

Type of Placement Service

Among types of placement services offered by school district programs, "referral to job openings" and "instruction in job search techniques" are almost universal (92 and 87 percent of programs, respectively). "Job order taking and listing," "placement followup," and "active job solicitation," were each offered to about three-

fifths of the programs.

Accessibility of Services

Ninety-two percent of the programs provided their services at the school site alone or at a combination of the central school district teate and local employment service location

• Staff for Placement Programs

About half (54 percent) of the districts reporting programs were using ordy their own staff; another 38 percent were operating through local employment services as well; the remainder had arrangements using local employment services only.

Users of Services

Of the districts with placement programs, about two-thirds estimated that fewer than 40 percent of their students and school leavers made use of their services each year. 'In fact, half of the districts reported less than 30 percent use.

Size of, Districts with Programs

Larger school districts are more likely than smaller districts to have formal placement programs.

Job Placement Services Provided by

Public School Systems in the United States, 1976

FRSS Report No. 2

Jeanette Goor... National Center for Education Statistics

Anita Schroeder... Westat, Inc.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
David Mathews, Secretary

Education Division Virginia Y. Trotter, Assistant Secretary for Education

> National Center for Education Statistics Marie D. Eldridge, Administrator

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

"The purpose of the Center shall be to collect and disseminate statistics and other data related to education in the United States and in other nations. The Center shall . . . collect, collate, and, from time to time, report full and complete statistics on the conditions of education in the United States; conduct and publish reports on specialized analyses of the meaning and significance of such statistics; . . . and review and report on education activities in foreign countries."--Section 406(b) of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended (20 U.S.C. 1221e-1).

Prepared for the National Center for Education Statistics

By Westat, Inc. under

Contract Number 300-76-0009

25

FOREWORD

This report is the second in the Fast Response Survey System (FRSS) series of studies on current, policy-related issues. The objective of the FRSS is to provide guick national estimates of key data that are needed for planning and policy purposes.

The survey that resulted in this report was conducted at the request of the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Education (OASE). Its purpose was to obtain a nationwide overview of the type and amount of formal job placement assistance provided by school districts for their high school students, graduates, and dropouts.

Supervision of the FRSS activities, the system, and the survey is the responsibility of Jeanette Goor, Project Officer, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). Westat, Inc. geveloped the system and conducted the survey under contract with NCES. Anita Schroeder directed the project for Westat.

Barry Stern of the OASE originated the guestions and provided guidance on the subject matter coverage. The questionnaire was further developed in coordination with Tony Fantaci and Oscar Gjernes of the U.S. Employment Service, Department of Labor. During the planning, liaison was maintained with the Data Acquisition Subcommittee (through its Fast Response Panel) of the Committee of Evaluation and Information Systems (CEIS) of the Council of Chief State School Officers. State Education Coordinators supported the survey by providing liaison with school districts, which, in turn, cooperated by responding quickly. Assistance of these individuals and organizations is gratefully acknowledged.

Tream L ledways

Marie D. Eloriage
Administrator
National Center for Education Statistics

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	i
SURVEY FINDINGS	1
CONCLUSION	0-
METHODOLOGY	1 /2.
APPENDIX II: SURVEY FORM	ck ve
CHARTS	٠
1 - Staffing arrangements for school district job placement programs by type of client served and age of program: United States, fall 1976	6
1 - School district job placement programs by type of client served: United States, fall 1976	'ı
2 - Types of services provided by school district job placement programs: United States, fall 1976	2
3 - School district job placement programs by age of program: United States, fall 1976	3,
4 - School district job placement programs by location of services: 7. United States, fall 1976	4
5 - Percent of school districts providing job placement services by type of client and staffing arrangement: United States, fall 1976.	5
6 - Students and leavers (graduates and dropouts) receiving job placement assistance from school districts each year: United States, fall 1976	7
7 - School districts with job placement programs by total enrollment size and secondary enrollment. United States, fall 1976.	8
8 - School districts with job placement programs by enhollment size and type of client: United States, fall 1976	9
9 - School districts with placement programs by family income level and type of client served: United States, fall 1976	10
A - Universe of public school districts with grades 11 and 12 by enrollment size and poverty index	12
B - Approximate standard errors of percents :	13
C - Approximate standard errors of estimated numbers.	13 ::

ERIC Full text Provided 1

INTRODUCTION

There has been increased awareness in recent years that work-bound students require assistance to ease the transition from school to work. Counseling an guidance services have primarily foc sed on the students planning to continue their education after high school. Although most reports on the transition of young-people from school to with recommend that job placement sirvices be provided to facilitate this transition, no data have been variable to reflect the extent or nature of such services for the nations high school students. The studies that had been done were neither current nor nationwide in scope.

This report documents for the first time the extent of job placement services provided by local education agencies (LEAs), working either alone or in collaboration with the U.S. Employment Service and other agencies. It is based on a survey mailed at the end of September 1976 to a nationally representative sample of LEAs with grades 11 and 12.

The questionnaire used in this survey contained four questions. Question 1 was intended to obtain an over-

view of the arrangements for formal job placement services being provided by local school districts to clients with specified educational status -students, graduates, and dropouts. Information about types of placement services offered was requested by means of a checklist in question 2. Question 3 obtained information about the accessibility or convenience of the placement services in terms of . location of services: on the school site, in a central location, or through a combination of the two. An estimate of the penetration of the placement program in the school district was requested in question 4a, which asked for the percentage of students and those who left school (dropouts and graduates) who annually availed themselves of placement services. nally, the number of years that the school district had been providing formal job placement assistance was requested in guestion 4b to get some . historical perspective.

Data obtained by the survey--on the nature, extent, and characteristics of formal LEA job placement assistance programs--provide an overview of the school district programs and the services that they have been rendering.

SURVEY FINDINGS

The Survey of Job Placement Assistance, conducted in fall 1976, was sent to a sample of 520 local education agencies (LEAs), of which 93. percent (482) responded. A summary of the methodology is given in appendix I. Only school districts having at least one school with grades 11 and 12 were considered to be within the scope of the survey. School districts reporting in question 1 that they had no formal job placement program, as defined in the questionnaire (appendix ·II), were not required to complete the balance of the survey form. This re port discusses the findings of the survey in terms of the extent and nature of the placement programs and of the characteristics of school districts with such programs.

Extent and Nature of Placement Programs

In all, 5,161 (44 percent) of the 11,637 school districts with grades 11 and 12 represented in this study provided formal job placement programs, These school districts included 66 percent of the Nation's secondary enrollment.

Most (93 percent) of the districts with placement programs served students still in school and about two-thirds served graduates and dropouts. Almost all the programs serving graduates and those serving dropouts also served enrolled students. All three types of clients—students, graduates, and dropouts—were served by about half (54 percent) of the programs. Table 1 shows the numbers of school districts providing services to each type and combinaton of client types.

Table 1.--School district job placement programs by type of client served:
United States, fall 1975

Number of districts	Percent of all districts with grades 11 and 12	Percent of all. districts with placement programs
-		
11,637		<u></u>
•		• . •
5,161	44	• 100
	•	
4,814	41	93
3,329	- 29	64
3,188	27	62
\	• :	, °
ا . <i>ب</i>		j '
2,798	24 `	54-
•		
	1	
3,325	29	64
		, - · · ·
3.181	27	62
	•	
1.	1)
2,803	24	. 54
	11,637 5,161 4,814 3,329	Number of districts with grades 11 and 12 11,637 5,161 4,814 3,329 3,188 2,798 2,798 24 3,325 29 3,181 27

S

School district placement programs offered a variety of services. Almost all (92 percent) offered "referral to job openings," and 87 percent offered "instruction in job search techniques." Table 2 gives the numbers of districts that provided each service listed on the questionnaire. Some of the "other"

services" reported by school districts' were: teaching techniques in test-taking and completing job applications, providing transportation to interview sites, giving instruction in employability skills, and providing an instruction materials library.

Table 2.--Types of services provided by school district job placement programs:
United States, fall 1976

Type of placement service	Number of districts providing service	Percent of districts providing service
Total number of districts	5,161	
Referral to Job openings /	4,753	. 92
Instruction in job-search techniques Job order taking and lighting	4,494	87. 62 -
Placement follow-up	3,182	62
Job solicitation	3,028	59
Other services	358	7

Formal job placement programs were relatively new to many of the school districts. Fifty-eight percent of the school districts offering services in 1976 had had formal programs for five years or less. About twenty-nine percent of the districts had programs that were three or fewer years old. The peak years for growth appear to have been 1971, 1974, 1970, and 1966. Table 3 shows the percentage of school districts with formal placement programs by the age of the program.

It may be significant that the

amendments to the Vocational Education Act (block grants under Part B) permitted localities to use vocational education monies for school placement services. The impetus for a number of school districts to take advantage of this opportunity may have been the spurt in unemployment among youth 16 to 19 years of ager-from 12.2 percent in 1960 to 16.9 percent in 1971. This may account for the peak establishment of 21 percent of placement programs during the year 1971, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3.--School district job placement programs by age of program: United States, fall 1976

Number of years program had been offered	Year of establishment	Percent of districts, initiating programs	Cumulative percent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11-15 16-20 21 or more	1975 1974 1973 1972 1971 1970 1969 1968 1967 1966 1956–1965 1956–1960	5 15 9 8 21 11 2 6 2 10 5	5 20 29 37 58 '69 71 77 79 89 94 99

In order to obtain a measure of the accessibility of placement services to the users, school districts were requested to give the location at which students were provided assistance. Table 4 shows the numbers of school districts providing job placement services at various locations. Ninety-two percent of the districts with placement programs reported that the school site was used, either alone (54 percent) or in combination with a centrally located site (38 percent).

Table 4.--School district job placement programs by location of services: United States, fall 1976

· · ·		
Location of services	Number of school . districts offering services at each location	districts offering
Total school districts with job placement programs Central local education agency location only Central local employment service location only Combination of central location and school site School site only Other location/combination No services offered at any location for at least one school	5,161 245 237 1,986 2,785 196	5 5 38 54 4

^{1/} A small proportion of school districts did not provide services at the same location for all schools. These districts checked more than one questionnaire line, thus resulting in a duplicated count. In addition, about 0.2 percent of the districts providing services did not provide these services to all high schools in the district.

The degree of coordination between school district placement programs and other agency programs was investigated by including a question on the type of arrangement used for providing placement services for each type of client—students, graduates, and dropouts. Three categories of staffing arrangements were listed on the questionnaire. They were: (1) school district staff, (2) formalized arrangement with local employment service (LES), and (3) both school district and local employment service

· , , 4, ,

(LES) staff.

Local education agency (LEA) staff was used in almost all of the placement programs, either exclusively or in combination with LES staff. The LES staff participated in about one—half of all the job-placement programs offered by the districts. Table 5 shows the percentages of LEAs providing placement services for students, graduates, and dropouts by the type of staffing arrangement.

Table 5. -- Percent of school districts providing job placement services by type of client and staffing arrangement: United States, fall 1976

`						
Type of client	Total	LEA staff only (-2.)	LES staff only (3)	Both LEA and LES staff (4)	Total LEA involvement (2) + (4)	Total LES involvement (3) + (4)
Students Graduates Dropouts	100 100 100	54 49 49	8 6 7	38 45 44	92 94 93	46 51 51

The pattern of staffing arrangements for providing job placement services varied according to the length of time the formal program had been in operation. The most recent programs (those in operation 3 years or less) were more likely than older

programs to rely solely on the staff of the school district. The older programs showed more use of both the LEA and LES staffs. Chart l'shows the staffing arrangements for each type of client and for various program ages.

Chart 1.--Staffing arrangements for school district job placement programs by type of client served and age of program: United States, fall 1976

Percent of · · total job placement programs 100 90 80 70 60 50 30 20 10 6 or 6 or 0-1 2-3 4-5 more years years years Age of · 0-1 0-1 2-3 . 4-5 more 2~3 0-1 4-5 more program years years years years years years years years Dropouts Graduates. TYPE & CLIENT SERVED LEA staff only LES staff only.

Both LEA and LES staff

In order to obtain an appropriate measure of the actual use of school district job placement services, the school districts were asked to estimate the percent of students still in school who were given placement services each year and the percent of those who had left school (graduates and dropouts) who were also provided these services. About half of the districts serving students estimated

that they gave placement assistance to fewer than 30 percent of their high school students. Two out of every three districts estimated that they gave assistance to fewer than 40 percent of their high school students. The percent of students and school leavers (graduates and dropouts) judged to be receiving services from the school districts is shown in Table 6.

Table 6.--Students and leavers (graduates and dropouts) receiving job placement assistance from school districts each year: United States, fall 1976

<u> </u>	Percent of clients receiving services		Number of school districts providing services	Percent of school districts providing services 1/
* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Students	0-9 10-19 20-29 30-39 40 or more	494 979 767 841 18,539	11 21 17 18 33
	Leavers	0-9 10-19 20-29 30-39 40 or more	1,821 414 413 333 1,243	- 43 10 10 8 29

^{1/} Percents are based on a total number of 265 school districts that responded to question 4a, or a total of 4,620 (weighted) school districts. The leaver percentages are based on 253 responses, for a total of 4,224 (weighted) school districts.

Characteristics of School Districts with Placement Programs

In order to examine the characteristics of school districts with formal job placement programs, total district enrollment, including both elementary and secondary students, was used. Small school districts (less than 2,500) constituted a high percent

(58) of the total number of placement programs; however, their enrollments included only 7 percent of the Nation's secondary students. Table 7 shows the numbers of school districts with job placement programs by enrollment size and by the number and percent of all secondary students enrolled in schools with programs.

Table 7.--School districts with job placement programs by total enrollment size and secondary enrollment: United States, fall 1976

	a		•	
**************************************	School	districts with	h placement prog	rams .
Enrollment size (elementary and secondary)	Number of districts	Percent of districts	Number of enrolled secondary students 1/	Percent of total secondary enrollment 2/
Total	5,161 2,979 979 677 383 143	58 19 13 7	1,285,000 1,701,000 2,155,000 2,646,000 4,826,000	66 7 9 11- 14 25

^{1/} Numbers in this and other tables may hot, and to totals due to rounding.

^{2/} Total enrollment is 19,200,000--the total number of secondary students enrolled in school districts with grades 11 and 12 in the U.S.

Despite the heavy concentration of job placement programs in small school districts, large school districts are still more likely to have placement programs. In fact, 71 percent of all districts of 25,000 or more students have placement programs

serving students, compared to 35 percent of the districts with less than 2,500 students. Table 8 shows the percent of placement programs within each enrollment size classification for each of the client groups.

able 8.--School districts with job placement programs by enrollment size and type of client: United States, fall 1976.

School districts with placement programs serving each type of client, as a percent of all school districts with grades 11 and 12

• •		-	• •/	
Enrollment size (elementary and secondary)	Students,	Graduates	Dropouts	Any combination of clients
Total Less than 2,500 2,500 - 4,999 5,000 - 9,999 10,000 - 24,999 25,000 or more	41 35 46 64 66 71	29 22 37 46 54 60	27 21 31 47 52 61	44

In addition to ehrollment size, the students' family income level in the school districts was also examined to determine if it had a bearing on the availability of job placement programs in the district. Three family income levels were considered in the analysis, based on the percentage of "poverty" children 5-17 years of age in the district in 1970. "Poverty" children are those whose family income falls below the poverty line, according to the Orshansky Poverty Index (see appendix I). The three levels of family incomes used were: high family income (HEI), or fewer than 8.5 percent "poverty" children in the district; medium family income (MFI), for districts with

8.6 percent to 36.5 percent "poverty" children; and low family income (LFI), for districts with 36.6 percent or more "poverty" children.

Table 9 indicates that 55 percent of the school districts in the HFI category had placement programs, while only 40 percent of the districts in the MFI°category and 39 percent of those in the LFI category did. The disparity between these percentages may be partially due to the fact that the HFI category contains proportionally fewer small school districts than the other two categories do. For example, the percents of small districts in the HFI and LFI categories are 52 percent and 76 percent, respectively (appendix I).

Table 9.--School districts with placement programs by family income level and type of client served: United States, Fall 1976

School districts with placement programs,

, \	as a percent of all school districts with grades 11 and 12 for each category					
Client served.	All family income levels	High family income	Medium family income	Low family income		
All programs	. 44	55	. 40	39 ▶		
Students Graduates Dropouts	41 29 27	50 30 36 	38 27 23 23	37 33 30		

CONCLUSION

The nationwide estimates obtained from the survey on job placement services provided by school districts convey an overall picture, as of fall 1976, of the extent and nature of formal job placement programs available to students, graduates, and dropouts.

The survey findings indicated that the number of school district placement programs has increased significantly during recent years, and that placement services were generally available to a large proportion of secondary school students. Only limited use was made of these services, however. The survey results also indicated considerable involvement of

local employment services staff in providing placement services; particularly in the programs which were more than four years old.

Assessment of the quality of the placement programs was not within the scope or objectives of this survey. However, such an assessment might be useful to provide a more complete picture, especially in light of the recent origins of a large proportion of the programs. The results of this survey provide the background for further stucy and help fill a critical gap in information available about job placement services offered by school districts in the United States.

APPENDIX I

The Fast Response Survey System

The Fast Response Survey System (FRSS) was established by NCES for the rapid collection of data on important educational issues. The system focuses on information that is not available from other sources and that is narrowly limited in scope.

The FRSS covers six educational sectors. They are:

State education agencies (SEAs)

Local education agencies (LEAs)

Public elementary and secondary schools

Nonpublic elementary and secondary schools

Institutions of higher education

Noncollegiate postsecondary schools wi€h occupational programs.

For each sector except the SEAs, a stratified random sample has been designed to allow valid national estimates to be made. The sample sizes range from 500 to 1,000. All 51 SEAs are canvassed.

A data collection network involving both responders and coordinators was developed in each sector. The coordinator's role is to assist in the data collection by maintaining liaison with the sampled institutions or agencies. The responders were selected to represent their institutions or agencies and are responsible for completing the questionnaires.

The FRSS is designed to collect and report small amounts of data within short time periods, probably ranging from 6 to 10 weeks. The number of questions is typically three to five in order to encourage quick response

with minimum burden.

The Fast Response Survey System provides NCES with a mechanism for furnishing data quickly and efficiently. All aspects of the system-the sample design, the network of coordinators and responders, and the short questionnaires—have been designed for that purpose.

Methodology for the LEA Survey of Job Placement Assistance

For this study, a national sample of 600 local education agencies (LEAs) was drawn with probability proportional to size from the universe of approximately 15,000 public school districts in the United States. The universe of LEAs was stratified by enrollment size class and the Orshansky Poverty Index prior to sample selection.

The sample was reduced to 596 school districts, after correcting for school district mergers and other circumstances. Sixty districts in this sample that did not have grades 1f and 12 were out of scope for this survey, and other school districts chose not to participate. Therefore, the number of school districts finally included in the survey was 520. On receipt of 93 percent of the questionnaires, the data collection effort was halted.

A weight adjustment was made to account for survey nonresponse. The weight adjustment was calculated for each cell of a two-way tabulation of responses. This tabulation made use of the enrollment size and the Orshansky Poverty Index classification of each school district. The resulting weighted survey responses represent the 11,637 school districts with the deads 11 and 12 in the United States. Table A shows the cell and marginal totals used in the weighting.



Table -A.--Universe of public school districts with grades 11 and 12 by enrollment size and poverty index

				<i>*</i> ' '	
• • • •	•	Poverty Index			
Enrollment Size		Total	8.5 percent and less (high family income)	8.6 - 36.5 percent (medium family 'income)	Over 36.6 percent (low family income)
Total		14,637	3,552	6,970	1,115
Less than 2,500 2,500 - 4,999 5,000 - 9,999 10,000 - 24,999 25,000 and over		7,915 1,936 1,046 555 2 184	1,835 904 507 261 46	5,236 857 463 276 139	844 176 76 19 0

Source: Market Data Retrieval Combined Mail File, fall 1975

Orshansky Poverty Index

The Orshansky Poverty Index is a measure to determine the "poverty" Level for family incomes. In calcu-Tating this index for school districts, the number of "poverty" children in a district is determined as those children between ages 5 and 17 whose fam-ily income falls below the "poverty . line." The poverty level is calcu-. lated using the family income, the humber of children in the family, the type of household head, and the ruralurban status of the district. The socio-economic data used were taken from the 1970 Census. The number of "poverty" children was then divided by the total number of children aged 5 to 17 in the district to calculate an index of "poverty" children for each district. The school district statistics and the secondary enrollment figures were taken from the Fall 1975 Market Data Retrieval Com-bined Mail File.

Standard Errors of Statistics

All statements of comparison made in the text are significant at a 1.6 standard error level or better. In other words, the chances are about 90 in 100 that the difference between a sample estimate and the universe figure is less than 1.6 times the standard error.

The reliability of estimated percents presented in the tables may be determined by using Table B, Approximate Standard Errors of Percents. The values in Table B are generalized standard errors for all percents, calculated from the base figures given. Standard errors for intermediate values not shown may be approximated by interpolation. Standard errors of estimated numbers are given in Table Ca

Table B.--Approximate standard errors of percents

•	Standard error when base is:				
Percent ·	11,000	5,500	2,750	1,375	
5 or 95 10 or 90 15 or 85 20 or 80 30 or 70 40 or 60	1.8 2.4 2.8 3.2 3.7 3.9 4.0	2.5 3.3° 3.9 4.5 5.2 5.5 5.6	3.6 4.8 5.6 6.4 7.4 7.8 8.0	5.0 6.6 7.8 9.0 10.4 11.0	

Table C.--Approximate standard errors of estimated numbers

Size of estimate Standard erro	٦r
250 500 1,500 1,500 2,500 3,000 4,000	

APPENDIX II

Survey Form

Fast Response Survey System

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EQUICATION, AND WELFARE EQUICATION DIVISION
NATIONAL CENTER FOR EQUICATION STATISTICS
WASHINGTON, O.C. 20202

FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 51 R1191

Dear School District Superintendent

This is the first school district survey to be conducted with the Fast Response Survey System (FRSS). The purpose is to gather data on the type and amount of formal job placement assistance provided for high school students by local school districts, alone or in collaboration with other agencies. No national data exist on this subject, yet such data are needed to assist in determining appropriate Federal activity in the student placement area. This survey has been coordinated with the Fast Response Panel of the CEIS Subcommittee on Data Acquisition. Please complete the questionnaire and return it within a week to the address on

the back of this form. If you wish to contact someone concerning this survey, please call Jeanette Gdor. FRSS Project Officer, at (202) 245-7843. Thank you for your assissance.

Sincerely.

Marie D. Eldridge Administrator, NCES

SCHOOL DISTRICT SURVEY OF ... JOB PLACEMENT ASSISTANCE

This report is authorized by law (20 U.S.C. 1221 e-11. While you are not required to respond, your cooperation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.

DEFINITIONS LOR PURPOSES OF THIS SURVEY.

Job Placement Assistance refers to formal services to help high school students or those who leave school (graduates and dropouts, called "leavers" in this survey) find part-time, full-time in summer jobs. These services do not include school-monitored work arrangements such as work-study or cooperative programs. (Adapted from Handbuok II. Revised)

High Schools refer to schools that include at least grades 11 and 42. These include Designated Area Vicational Schools if they are operated by your school district.

If your school district has no high school as defined above, check, here and complete Items 5a and 5b and return this form. WHICH OF THE SERVICES WETHELIST BE LOW OOES YOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT PRO DOES YOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT PROVIDE JOB PLACEMENT SERVICES TO HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS OR LEAVERS THROUGH ONE OF THE ARRANGEMENTS LISTEO? (Chal Mic appropriate boxes) VIOE? (Check all that apply) SERVICES PROVIDED FOR a Joh order taking and listing SERVICES PROVIDED THROUGH ZGRAOU ATES OROP STU NO ONE b Job solicitatron a. School district staff b. Formalized errangement with local employment service (LES) e. Referral to job openings c. Both ichiool district and local employment services (LES) staff d. Placement follow-up d Other (Specify) e. Instruction in job-search techniques f Other (Specify) . If "NO ONE" was checked for all lines above, complete Items 5a and 5b and return this form INDICATE THE NUMBER OF HIGH SCHOOLS IN YOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT WHOSE STUDENTS ARE PROVIDED PLACEMENT ASSISTANCE AT THE LOCATIONS LIST. 48. ABOUT WHAT PERCENTAGE OF YOUR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AND LEAVERS (gradue 'EO BELOW. (Count each school only once in completing lines a through f) ales or Tropouls) ARE GIVEN FORMAL JO PLACEMENT ASSISTANCE EACH YEAR? LOCATION OF SERVICES NUMBER OF SCHOOLS STUGENTS a, Central LEA location only 4b FOR HOW MANY YEARS HAS YOUR SCHOOL DIS-YEARS b, Central local employment service. (LES) location only TRICT PROVIDED A FOR-MAL PLACEMENT PROc. Combination of central location and school site GRAM? . 5a, NAME OF SCHOOL DISTRIC d. School site only e. Other location/combination (Specify) No services offered at any location 56 NAME OF STATE TOTAL NUMBER OF HIGH SCHOOLS IN LEA (as defined above)
(Should equal sum of lines a through f above.)

NCES FORM 2378-2, 9/76